Suprame Count, U.S. FILED

No:

05 - 692 NOV - 7 2005

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

Bûpreme Court of the United States

JAY NOLAN RENOBATO

Petitioner,

V.

MERRILL LYNCH & CO., Underwriter
MERRILL LYNCH PIERCE FENNER & SMITH INC., Broker, and
MERRILL LYNCH PROFESSIONAL CLEARING CORFORATION,
Clearing Agent

Respondents,

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari To the United States Court of Appeals For the Fifth Circuit

PETITION [APPEAL] FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

J. NOLAN RENOBATO
Arbitrageur of Record
RENOBATO & ASSOCIATES
Post Office Box 9771
The Woodlands, Texas. 77387
(213) 364-8250 tel.
Pro Se Petitioner

OUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

1. Certified Federal Questions in Billion Dollar LBO Takeover Diversity Suit. Commonly labeled as a federal question, meeting both diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy requirements, the Renobato versus Merrill Lynch & Co., Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner and Smith Inc., and Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corporation case arises under dual federal treatise including the Constitution and Acts of Congress, and involves their appropriate application to SEC recorded title to property deeds as well as liberty of 'purchase' and 'sale' contracts at issue within the free trade and open market system of the United States, of which statutory jurisdiction proper is given to federal courts at all levels. (See U.S. CONST. art. III, § 1, 2; 28 U.S.C. §§ 1251, 1292, 1331.)

(a) Bona Fide Arbitrage in Interstate Commerce, Whether guarantees enumerated in the Constitution of the United States protect Petitioner's life occupation as an arbitrageur from a Clerk of the Court of Appeals seizing purported inherent authority to issue commercial paper; (1) denying equal protection to a member of a legislatively protected class of public investors in securities interstate commerce wherein zero fair play or substantial justice was afforded for due process during the sentencing phase subsequent to the finding of total culpability and absolute liability of Respondent (convicted in a binding unanimous Judgment on all counts of complaint at NYSE trial), (2) against established case precedent, and (3) that is violative of free speech where the Clerk's so-called 'order'[sic]'fundamentally refused to permit prosecution to plead its case in-redressing grievances in the federal court system to affirmatively recoup damages and enjoy property ownership? And does the Bill of Rights incorporate provisions that the Claimant is empowered to use and rely on in seeking enforcement of Judgment and securing property entitlements in a court of last resort?

(b) Statutory United States Code, and Code of Federal Regulations Application. Whether, in particular terms, convicted fraud Respondent is brought to face its clear liability in courts of the United States or is permitted to escape capital market obligations for certain felonious commissions and/or omissions of acts that maliciously breach definitive trade customs, contract duties, and securities rules promulgated under federal code appurtenant principally to Commerce and Trade legislation, a Securities and Exchange Commission order, and a National Securities Exchange

mandate? (15 U.S.C. § 77, 78; 17 C.F.R., & Reg T)

PARTICIPANTS TO THE PROCEEDING

2. Natural Person Claimant v. Artificial Person Respondent, The only real parties ('entities'[sic]) in interest concerning Renobato v. Merrill Lynch et al. now pending before the United States Court of Appeals for the 5th Circuit are listed in the caption. The petitioner is Jay Nolan Renobato, a U.S. citizen, resident of the State of Texas, sole proprietor, and arbitrageur who advances pro se. The convicted Respondent is manipulative broker-dealer Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner and Smith Inc. a subsidiary, along with negligent clearing agent Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corp., both inside parent hegemonic underwriter Merrill Lynch & Co.- all Delaware Corporations. In the courts below, Renobato is called Plaintiff, Claimant, Appellant, and Judgement creditor; whereas the Merrill Lynch is properly referred to as the Defendant, Respondent, Appellee, and Judgement debtor. The interstate commerce controversy subject matter stands on transactions and occurrences originating between Texas, Delaware, New York, and New Jersey where the Defendants nexus of operations have minimum branchoffice contacts, were incorporated, are physically headquartered, and maintain executive offices. In addition to those listed on the cover, accessory defense attorneys intentionally misrepresent that there is no company named "Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corporation." (18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343) Fraudfeasor Defendants, however, are in fact a combination parent and multi subsidiary1 pyramid organization. (TX. DTPA §27.46(20)) Also, note that the Government is a participant in a high proportion of litigation in the Supreme Court.2

According to the Secretary of State for the State of Delaware, Merrill Lynch & Co. is in fact the parent of Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corporation. (See infra.)
 The statistics for any Term[sic] can be found in the Annual Report of the Solicitor General, which is printed as a part of the Annual Report of the Attorney General.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Capital Case Terms	Page:
QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW	i.
PARTICIPANTS TO THE PROCEEDING	ii.
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii.
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	vi.
ORDERS BELOW STANDING VERSUS STATUTE AND I. Fifth Circuit	1
RIGHTEOUS NATIONAL SECURITIES EXCHANGE DE	
NATURE OF CERTIORARI JURISDICTION IV. Justiciable Controversy in Appellate Level Ver	nue 4
CODES, STATUTES, AND RULES INVOLVED V. Regulatory Codification	5
CONSIDERATION OF THE CASE AND MATERIAL FA VI. Aggregate Set of Operative Facts VII. Reg T Securities Account Compliance VIII. Merrill Lynch's Aggregate Indebtedness Def IX. Credible Criminal Theft Case Versus Respond X. Materiality In Connection With the Federal Reg Zone of Interests XI. Family Resemblance Issues XII. Legal Use of Program Trading as Schedule Legal	CTS
JUSTIFIED REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE OF THE WRI XIII. (A.) SPLIT DECISIONS- Judicial Oversight 1. Conflict Between Circuits 2. Code Integrity and Regulatory Complia	17

-continued-

XIV. (B.) CONS	TITUTIONAL PORTFOLIO- Life, liberty,
property;	expression freedom; due process; and lawful
	ontract examinations
	ng Legal Procedures that are Due in
	ncing Convicted Respondent
	amental Property Rights and Preferred
	ty of Contract21
	tured Servitude
	onable Force Obtained Through Freedom of
Speed	1
	STATE COMMERCE AND TRADE, AND
	DE ARBITRAGE GROUP
	nical Securities, Rule of Law, and Aggregate
inde	btedness Claims
	owered SCHEDULE 13D Securities
	ements
	GAL PROCEDURE INDEX- Act on Enabled
Rules	
	of Evidence
	s of Fact
3. Want	of Assignments28
CUNITENICE ADCI IMI	ENT IN BRIEF CONCLUSION29
XVII. Mandam	us29
PRAYER	30
	Nobis
Avii. Oid i io	
APPENDIX	
A. U.S.C.A. Co	ver Letter of 9 August 20051a
B. U.S.C.A. Cle	erk of Court unsigned document dated
9 August 20	
C. U.S.D.C. OF	DER[sic] of 10 June 1998 5a
D. U.S.D.C. O	RDER of 10 December 19987a
	DER of 29 January 1999 9a
	-006647 Judgment (unanimous- all counts)
	vember 1998 11a
	#1997-006647 Transcript Cover (excerpts) . 13a
	APPEARANCES
	7; Chairperson's quote on Damages 15a
(II) p. 0	, Champerson's quote on Damages 13a

(iii) p. 159; Chairperson admits cash account and not
margin account 16a
(iv) p. 208; Chairperson's quote on Damages 17a
(v) p. 67; Securities Expert confirms arbitrage
transaction 18a
(vi) p. 303; REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION TO THE
HEARING TRANSCRIPT OF THE NEW YORK
STOCK EXCHANGE TAKEN ON NOV. 9, 1998 19a
H. The Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. § 77b)
I. The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. § 78c) 25a
J. Regulation T (12 C.F.R. §§ 220.1, 220.2)
(i) "bona fide arbitrage" (12 C.F.R. § 220.7) 57a
K. Delaware Securities Act
(Title 6, Chapter 73 §§ 7301, 7302, 7303) 59a
L. Gund v. First Florida Banks, 726 F.2d 682 (1984) 65a

V

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page	
Cases Precedent-	
Abrams v. United States, 250 U.S. 616, 40 S.Ct. 17, 63 L.Ed. 1173	
(1919) 2	4
Adamson v. California, 332 U.S. 46, 67 S.Ct. 1672, 91 L.Ed. 1903 (1947)	Ó
Allen v. Wright, 468 U.S. 737, 751(1984)	
Allmon v. Allmon, Mo.App., 306 S.W.2d 651, 655	
Apodaca v. Oregon, 406 U.S. 404, 92 S.Ct. 1628, 32 L.Ed.2d 184	-
(1972)	n
Arnold v. Chicago, Burlington & Quincy R.R. Co., 7 F.R.D. 678, (D.Neb.1947)	
Association of Data Processing Service Organizations Inc. v. Camp,	-
397 U.S. 150, 154 (1970)	
Avery v. Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith, 328 F.Supp. 677	-
(1971)	9
Barnes v. State, 9 Tex. App. 128	
Barton v. State, 227 S.W. 317 (Tex.CrimApp.1921)	
Beacon Theatres Inc. v. Westover, 359 U.S. 500, 79 S.Ct. 948, 3	
L.Ed.2d 988 (1959)	6
B.J. Tannenbaum Jr., 18 Sec.L.Reg. & L.Rep. (BNA) 1826	
(SEC No Action Letter Dec. 4, 1986)	4
Blau v. Lamb, 363 F.2d 507 (1966)	
Boles v. State, 58 Ark. 35, 22 S.W. 887	
Borland Finance Co., 19 Sec.Reg. & L.Rep. (BNA) 1769	
(SEC No Action Letter Oct. 10, 1987)	7
Brandenburg v. Ohio, 395 U.S. 444, 89 S.Ct. 1827, 23 L.Ed.2d 430	
(1969)	5
Brown v. Martinez, 361 P.2d 152 (N.M. 1961)	3
Byrd v. Blue Ridge Rural Electrical Cooperative Inc., 356 U.S. 525,	
78 S.Ct. 893, 2 L.Ed.2d 953 (1958)	3
Chemical Fund Inc. v. Xerox Csrporation, 377 F.2d 107 (1967) 1	
Chiniche v. Smith, Ala. 374 So.2d 872, 873	
City of Memphis v. Greene, 451 U.S. 100 (1981)	5
City of Mobile v. Bolden, 446 U.S. 55 (1980)	
Clark v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, C.A.Fed.,	
266 F.2d 698	7
Clarke v. Securities Industry Association, 107 S.Ct. 750, 757,	
(1987)	4

Colgate v. United States, Ct.Cl.1929, 50 S.Ct. 22, 280 U.S. 43,
74 L.Ed. 157
Community Bank v. s, 278 Or. 647, 566 P.2d 470, 475 16
Continental Marketing orp. v. SEC, 387 F.2d 466 (10th Cir.1967) . 14
Corenco Corp. v. Schiavone & Sons Inc., 488 F.2d 207
(2 nd Cir. 1973)
Cort v. Ash, 422 U.S. 66, 95 S.Ct. 2080, 45 L.Ed.2d 26 (1975) 13
Crawford v. State, 90 Ga. 701, 17 S.E. 628, 35 Am.St.Rep. 242 23
CTS Corp. v. Dynamics Corp. of America, 481 U.S. 69,
107 S.Ct. 1637, 95 L.Ed.2d 67 (1987)
Daily v. Morgan, 701 F.2d 496 (5th Cir. 1983)
Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals Inc., 509 U.S. 579
(1993)
Dennis v. United States, 341 U.S. 494, 71 S.Ct. 857, 95 L.Ed. 1137
(1951)
Driscoll v. People, 47 Mich. 413, 11 N.W. 221
Duncan v. State of Louisiana, 391 U.S. 145, 88 S.Ct. 1444,
20 L.Ed.2d 491 (1968)
Dzenits v. Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Inc., 494 F.2d 168
(1974)
East New York Savings Bank v. Hahn, 326 U.S. 230, (1945) 22
Easterline v. Bean, 121 Tex. 327, 49 S.W.2d 427, 429
Eberhardt v. Waters, 901 F.2d 1578 (11th Cir. 1990)
Ellis v. Merrill Lynch & Co., 664 F.Supp. 979 (E.D.PA.1987)
Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co., 24 Cal.2d 453, 150 P.2d 436
(1944)
ESI Montgomery County Inc. v. Montenay Int'l Corp.,
899 F.Supp. 1061, 1065 (E.D.N.Y. 1995)
Exchange Services Inc. v. SEC, 797 F.2d 188 (4th Cir. 1986)
Ex parte Wilson, 114 U.S. 417, 5 S.Ct. 935, 29 L.Ed. 89
Feltner v. Columbia Pictures Television Inc., 523 U.S. 340,
118 S.Ct. 1279, 140 L.Ed.2d 438 (1998)
Free v. Bland, 369 U.S. 663 (1962)
French v. Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Inc., 784 F.2d 902
(9th Cir. 1986)
Fiela v. Trump, 850 F.2d 938 (2nd Cir. 1988)
First English Evangelical Lutheran Church of Glendale v. Los
Angeles County, 482 U.S. 304, 107 S.Ct. 2387, 96 L.Ed.2d 250
(1987)
Fry v. United States, 95 S.Ct. 1972, 421 U.S. 542, 44 L.Ed.2d 363
GAF Corp. v. Milstein, 453 F.2d 709 (2nd. Cir. 1971)

Galloway v. United States, 319 U.S. 372, 63 S.Ct. 1077, 87 L.Ed. 1458
(1943) 28
Gibson Greetings Inc. v. Bankers Trust Co., Sec. Act. Rel. No. 7124
(S.D.Ohio 1994)
Gilligan, Will & Co. v. SEC, 267 F.2d 461 (2nd Cir. 1959)
Goldberg v. Kelly, 397 U.S. 254 (1970)
Greenman v. Yuba Power Products Inc., 59 Cal.2d 57,
27 Cal.Rptr. 697, 377 P.2d 897 (1963)
Griffin v. Illinois, 351 U.S. 12 (1956)
Grumman Corp., v. LTV Corp., 527 F.Supp. 86 (E.D.N.Y.), affirmed,
665 F.2d 10 (2 nd Cir. 1981)
Gund v. First Florida Banks Inc., 726 F.2d 682 (1984)
Hanson Trust PLC v. SCM Corp., 774 F.2d. 47 (2nd Cir. 1985) 7
Heli-Coil Corp. v. Webster, 222 F.Supp. 831 (1963)
Helvering v. Southwes Consol. Corp. La., 315 U.S. 194, 62 S.Ct. 546,
86 L.Ed. 789 6
Higgins v. State, 19 S.W. 503
Honda Motor Co. Ltd. v. Oberg, 512 U.S. 415, 114 S.Ct. 2331,
129 L.Ed.2d 336 (1994)
Huddleston v. MacLean, 459 U.S. 375, 103 S.Ct. 683, 74 L.Ed.2d 548
(1983)
In re Bevill, Bresler & Schuman Asset Management Corp.,
67 B.R. 557, (D.N.J.1986)
In re Ivan F. Boesky Securities Litigation, 36 F.3d 255
(2 nd Cir. 1994)
In re Slaughterhouse Cases, 83 U.S. (16 Wall.) 69, 21 L.Ed. 394 22
Jacobson v. Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Inc., 797 F.2d 1197
(3 rd Cir. 1986)
Jones v. Barnes, 463 U.S. 745, 751 (1983)
Kern County Land Co. v. Occidental Petroleum Corp., 411 U.S. 582,
36 L.Ed.2d 503, 93 S.Ct. 1736
King v. Citizens Bank of DeKalb, 88 Ga.App. 40, 76 S.E.2d 86,
91
King v. Idaho Funeral Services Ass'n, 862 F.2d 744
(9th Cir. 1988)
Klugh v. United States, D.C.S.C., 620 F.Supp. 892, 901
Kramer v. Union Free School Dist. No. 15, 395 U.S. 621 (1969) 10
Landreth Timber Co. v. Landreth, 731 F.2d 1348 (9th Cir. 1984),
reversed 471 U.S. 681, 105 S.Ct. 2297, 85 L.Ed2d 692 (1985) 14
Lawrence v. Chater, N.C.1996, 116 S.Ct. 604, 516 U.S. 163,
133 L.Ed.2d 545
Lepre v. Caputo, 131 N.I.Super, 118, 328 A.2d 650

Lichter v. United States, 334 U.S. 742 (1948)
Lochner v. New York, 198 U.S. 45, 25 S.Ct. 539, 49 L.Ed. 937
(1905)
Lords Landing Village v. Continental Ins. Co., Md.1997,
117 S.Ct. 1731, 520 U.S. 893, 138 L.Ed.2d 9130
Lugar v. Edmondson Oil Co., 457 U.S. 922 (1982)
Lynch v. Household Finance Corporation, 405 U.S. 538,
92 S.Ct. 1113, 31L.Ed.2d 424 (1972)
Lynch v. United States, 292 U.S. 571 (1934)21
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 2 L.Ed. 60 (1803) 4, 23
Marine Bank v. Weaver, 455 U.S. 551, 102 S.Ct. 1220, 71 L.Ed.2d 409
(1892) 15
Masses Pub'g Co v. Patten, 244 F. 535 (S.D.N.Y.), rev'd, 246 F. 24
(2 nd Cir. 1917)
May v. Henderson, 268 U.S. 111, 45 S.Ct. 456, 69 L.Ed. 870 30
McCrary v. State, Ala.Cr.App., 429 So.2d 1121, 1123
McCulloch v. Maryland, 17 U.S. 316, 4 L.Ed. 579 (1819) 4
McDaniel v. State, 8 Smedes & M. (Miss.) 401, 47 Am.Dec. 93 23
McGautha v. California, 402 U.S. 183, 265 (1971) 10
M'Ilvoy v. Cockran, 2 A.K. Marsh 271 (Ky. 1820)
McKeel v. Merrill Lynch PierceFenner & Smith Inc., 419 F.2d 1291
(1969) 19
Mempha v. Ray, 389 U.S. 128, 88 S.Ct. 254, 19 L.Ed.2d 336 13
Mueller v. Brunn, 105 Wis.2d 171, 313 N.W.2d 790. 794
National Socialist Party v. Village of Skokie, 432 U.S. 43,
97 S.Ct. 2205, 53 L.Ed.2d 96 (1977)
Nebbia v. New York, 291 U.S. 502, 54 S.Ct. 505, &8 L.Ed. 940
(1934) 21
Newmark v. RKO General Inc., 425 F.2d 348 (1970)
North Dakota State Board of Pharmacy v. Snyder's Drug Store,
414 U.S. 156, 94 S.Ct. 407, 38 L.Ed.2d 397 (1973)
Nording v. Johnston, 205 Or. 315, 283 P.2d 994
Ohio Drill & Tool Co. v. Johnson, 498 F.2d 186 (1974)
Ohio v. Carmans, Tappan (Ohio) 6523
O'Neill v. Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Inc., U.S.D.C. No.
84-C-3181 (N.D.Ill. 1987)
Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon, 260 U.S. 393, 43 S.Ct. 158,
67 L.Ed. 322 (1922)
People v. Banks, Colo.App., 655 P.2d 1384, 1387
People v. Corcillo, 195 Misc. 198, 88 N.Y.S.2d 534
People v. Hughes, 11 Utah 100, 89 Pac. 49223
People v. Norwood, 26 Cal. App.3d 148, 103 Cal. Rptr. 7, 11 26

People v. Vice, 21 Cal. 344	. 23
Peoples Finance & Thrift Co. of Visalia v. Bowman,	
58 Cal.App.2d 729, 137 P.2d 729, 731	10
Perry v. United States, 294 U.S. 330, 353-54 (1935)	21
Police Dept. City of Chicago v. Mosley, 408 U.S. 92, 92 S.Ct. 2286,	
33 L.Ed.2d 212 (1972)	24
Pollack v. Laidlaw Holdings Inc., 27 F.3d 808 (2nd Cir. 1994)	. 15
Porta v. Mayor City of Omaha, Neb., (D.C.Neb.),	
593 F.Supp. 963, 865	. 16
Regina v. Hemmings, 4 F.&F. 50	. 23
Renobato v. Merrill Lynch et. al., New York Stock Exchange Inc.,	
Arbitration #1997-006649	. 16
Renobato v. Bureau of the Public Debt, U.S. S.Ct. case 01-830	
now pending	16
Reves v. Ernst & Young, 494 U.S. 56, 110 S.Ct. 945, 108 L.Ed.2d. 47	7
(1990), rehearing denied, 494 U.S. 1092, 110 S.Ct. 1840,	
108 L.Ed.2d 968 (1990)	. 14
Reynolds v. Volunteer State Life Ins. Co., Tex.Civ.App.,	
80 S.W.2d 1087, 1092	. 29
Robertson v. Baldwin, 165 U.S. 275, 17 S.Ct. 326, 41 L.Ed. 715	. 22
Rondeau v. Mosinee Paper Corp., 422 U.S.49, 95 S.Ct. 2069,	
45 L.Ed.2d 12 (1975)	. 10
Rousey et ux. v. Jacoway, S.Ct. No. 03-1407, Argued December 1,	
2004 - Decided April 4, 2005	10
Schenck v. United States, 249 U.S. 47 (1919)	25
SEC v. Carter Hawley Hale Stores Inc., 760 F.2d 945 (9th Cir. 1985)	7
SEC v. Glen-Arden Commodities Inc., [1973 Transfer Binder]	
Fed.Sec.L.Rep. (CCH) ¶94,142 (E.D.N.Y.)	14
SEC v. Koscott Interplanetary Inc., 497 F.2d 473 (5thCir.1974)	. 14
SEC v. M.A. Lundy Associates, 362 F.Supp. 226 (D.R.I.1973)	14
SEC v. W.J. Howey Co., 328 U.S. 293, 66 S.Ct. 1100, 90 L.Ed. 1244	
(1946)	. 14
Schuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham, Ala., 394 U.S. 147,	
89 S.Ct. 935, 22 L.Ed.2d 162 (1969)	4
Smedly v. State, 30 Tex. 215	
Smith v. State, 81 S.W. 712	23
Smoky Greenhaw Cotton Co. v. Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner &	
Smith Inc., 785 F.2d 1274 (5th Cir. 1986), on remand,	15
650 F.Supp. 220 (W.D.Tex.1986)	3
Staley Continental Inc. v. Drexel Burnham Lambert Inc., 1988 WL	
36117, [1987-88 Transfer Binder] Fed.Sec.L.Rep. (CCH)	
¶93,698 (D.C.C.1988)	. 27

State v. Brown, 104 Mo. 365, 16 S.W. 406
State v. Dengel, 24 Wash. 49, 63 Pac. 1104
State v. Ducey, 25 Ohio App.2d 50, 266 N.E.2d 233, 235
State v. Fenster, 2 Conn.Cir. 184, 199 A.2d 177
State v. Hollyway, 41 Iowa 200, 20 Am.Rep. 586
State v. Hudson, Tenn.Cr.Ap., 487 S.W.2d 672, 674
State v. Wasson, 126 Iowa 320, 101 N.W. 125
State ex rel. Herbert v. Whims, 68 Ohio App. 39, 38 N.E.2d 596, 599,
22 O.O. 110
State ex rel. Smith v. Smith, 197 Or. 96, 252 P.2d 550
State Farm Mutual Auto. Ins. Co. v. Rickhoff, Mo.App.,
509 S.W.2d 485, 487
Stutson v. United States, Ala.1996, 116 S.Ct. 600, 516 U.S. 193,
133 L.Ed.2d 571
Tahoe-Sierra Preservation Council v. Tahoe Regional Planning
Agency, 535 U.S. 302, 122 S.Ct. 1465, 152 L.Ed.2d 517
(2002) 20
Tcherepnin v. Knight, 389 U.S. 322, 88 S.Ct. 548, 19 L.Ed.2d 564
(1967)
Temple v. State, 215 S.W. 965
Texas International Airlines v. National Airlines Inc., 714 F.2d 533
(5th Cir. 1983)
Transactive Corp. v. United States, 91 F.3d 232 (D.C.Cir. 1996) 10
Triplett v. Commonwealth, 122 Ky. 35, 91 S.W. 281 23
Tull v. United States, 481 U.S. 412, 107 S.Ct. 1831, 95 L.Ed.2d 365
(1987)
TXO Production v. Alliance Resources Corp., 509 U.S. 443,
113 S.Ct. 2711, 125 L.Ed.2d 366 (1993)
United States v. Briggs, C.A.Fla., 514 F.2d 794, 804
United States v. Carolene Products Co., 304 U.S. 144, 58 S.Ct. 2010, 52 L.Ed. 1234 (1938)
United States v. Carroll Towing Co., 159 F.2d 169, 173 (2nd Cir. 1947)
(2 nd Cir. 1947)
United States v. LaBonte, 520 U.S. 751, 757
89 L.Ed. 549
Veix v. Sixth Ward Bldg. & Loan Ass'n, 310 U.S. 32, 38 (1940) 22
Village of Belle Terre v. Boraas, 416 U.S. 1(1974)
Weiman v. Dickinson, 4/51.5upp. /05 (5.D.14.1.17/7)